

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,123	02/09/2001	Bryan E. Aupperle	RSW9-2000-0140-US1	1676	
7590 10/01/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire			MCALLISTER, STEVEN B		
Synnestvedt & Lechner			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2600 Aramark Tower			ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
1101 Market Street			3627		
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/781,123

Applicant(s)

Aupperle

Examiner

Steven McAllister

Art Unit **3627**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extens	ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	date of this communication. Iriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO p	riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Алу ге	ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	Material term adjustment. See 57 C. N. 1.754(5).
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	on of Claims
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ion Papers
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:
	. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*S	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	
_	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Normation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:
3≀ L_J IM	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/781,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The application as understood by the examiner provides the following embodiments of the invention:

- 1. The method as shown in Figure 2 in which the buyer provides buyer-related digital certificate information to the EPI vendor and verification-related digital certificate information is provided to the buyer by printing it on the EPI at purchase and wherein the buyer later presents the EPI and his smart card so that the verification-related digital certificate information on the EPI and the purchaser-related digital certificate data on smart card can be correlated to provide the buyer the rights afforded by the EPI.
- 2. The method as shown in Figure 3 in which the buyer provides buyer-related digital certificate information to the EPI vendor but does not receive verification-related digital certificate information at the time of purchase. Later the buyer presents his smart card so that the verification-related digital certificate information maintained remotely by the vendor and the

Application/Control Number: 09/781,123 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

purchaser-related digital certificate data on smart card can be correlated to provide the buyer the rights afforded by the EPI.

3. A method non-specifically shown in any figure, but described on page 12, line 15 through page 13, line 5. In this embodiment, the buyer provides buyer-related digital certificate information to the EPI vendor and verification-related digital certificate information is provided to the buyer by printing it on verification document at purchase and wherein the buyer later presents the verification document and his smart card so that the verification-related digital certificate information on the verification document and the purchaser-related digital certificate data on smart card can be correlated in order that the buyer may receive the EPI.

The claims as understood by the examiner appear to be a combination of the embodiments, but read on no single embodiment. For instance, it appears that claims 1 and 13 cannot be the first embodiment because the purchaser is required to provide the verification-related digital certificate information before he can receive the EPI, but since that information is printed on the EPI it is impossible for the buyer to do so. It appears that they cannot be the second embodiment because in that embodiment the buyer provides the purchaser-related data to get the EPI, not the verification-related information. As to the third embodiment, while the buyer does provide the verification-related information to receive the item, it is compared with the purchaser related information, not with EPI as recited in claims 1 and 13. As to claim 12, it appears that the method of that claim essentially compares the verification-related data with itself, since the indicia to which it is being compared represents that data as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,123

Page 4

Art Unit: 2167

Due to apparent discrepancies with all of the method disclosed in the specification, the claimed subject matter was not described in the specification in a way that would convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time of the application.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Steven B. McAllister

September 30, 2003